

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,306	04/08/2008	Lawrence Solomon	SLP-034	2575
64546 ACCH-BREA	7590 09/15/200 K TECHNOLOGIES.		EXAM	INER
1000 SOUTH PINE ISLAND ROAD			BARHAM, BETHANY P	
SUITE 230 PLANTATIO	N. FL. 33324		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/598,306 SOLOMON ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit BETHANY BARHAM 1615 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum situation period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by shade, cause the application to become ARMONDOED (30 USC, § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patter term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(b).
Status
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
4) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-44 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)	 Notice of Informal Patent Application 	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/598,306 Page 2

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-26, and 44, drawn to an immediate release pharmaceutical tablet with at least two segments...(a)....(b)....or (c).

Group III, claim(s) 27-38, drawn to an immediate release pharmaceutical tablet in which the tablet is produced sequentially.

Group III, claim(s) 39-40, drawn to an immediate release pharmaceutical tablet selected from a group consisting of A-I-B-I-A, A-I-B-I-B, A-I-B-I-C, or A-B-I-C.

Group IV, claim(s) 41, drawn to a method of breaking an immediate release pharmaceutical tablet.

Group V, claim(s) 42, drawn to a method of administering a partial dose of a drug contained in a pharmaceutical tablet.

Group VI, claim(s) 43, drawn to a different method of administering a partial dose of a drug contained in a pharmaceutical tablet.

The inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical feature is "a pharmaceutical tablet which comprises at least two segments" and is taught by US 3,336,200 which teaches a compressed scored tablet having a drug in two separate granulations and that it can comprise 2-4 segments (claim, Fig.2-4, col. 1, lines 11-23).

Application/Control Number: 10/598,306 Page 3

Art Unit: 1615

Election of Species

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

If Group I, IV or V elected, elect one of the following from claim 1:

- (a) two or more segments with same drug or drugs,
- (b) first segment with drug, second segment with undetectable drug, third segment with a different drug or
- (c) first segment with drug, second segment with undetectable drug, third segment with a different drug and a specific height or effective height.

then elect from claim 2: for the second segment one of (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), or (f) (also reads on dependent claims 3-4, 6,...12-13, etc).

If Group II is elected, elect one of the following for claim 27:

- all granulations are physically and chemically compatible or
- the segment derived from the second granulation effective height (claims 27-29).

If Group III is elected, elect one of the following:

- A-I-B-I-A,
- A-I-B-I-B,
- · A-I-B-I-C, or
- A-B-I-C.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,306

Art Unit: 1615

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-44.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: some require the same drug, some require an inert segment, some require a different drug, etc thus there is no special technical feature. The only common feature is "a pharmaceutical tablet which comprises at least two segments" and is taught by US 3,336,200 which teaches a compressed scored tablet having a drug in two separate granulations and that it can comprise 2-4 segments (claim, Fig.2-4, col. 1, lines 11-23).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,306

Art Unit: 1615

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BETHANY BARHAM whose telephone number is (571)272-6175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on 571-272-8373. The fax phone Application/Control Number: 10/598,306 Page 6

Art Unit: 1615

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Bethany Barham/ Examiner, Art Unit 1615

> /Tracy Vivlemore/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1635